

The Terminal Manner Doctrine

Author Information

Badru Michael Oluwarotimi

Independent Researcher, Nigeria

Corresponding author: badrumike47@gmail.com

Paper Title:

The Terminal Manner Doctrine: A Predictive Energetic Model of Death Grounded in Spiritualectics

The Terminal Manner Doctrine

Abstract

Abstract

This paper introduces the Terminal Manner Doctrine (TMD), a novel hypothesis grounded in the emerging field of Spiritualectics, founded by Badru Michael Oluwarotimi. TMD asserts that while the time of death is immutable, the manner of death is determined by the dominant energetic domain-spiritual, behavioral, natural, or predisposed-active at the moment of death. To operationalize this, we present the Quantifiable Energy-Aura Scale (QEAS), a predictive model that measures intensity and frequency across four life domains to compute energetic dominance. By analyzing real and living case studies using QEAS, we demonstrate over 90% alignment between predicted and actual death manners. The model integrates metaphysical theory with empirical scoring and offers interdisciplinary applications in health, behavioral sciences, spiritual studies, and technological tools. Ethical considerations are emphasized for living subject modeling. This work positions QEAS as a foundational tool for future research in death prediction, energetic psychology, and Spiritualectics.

The Terminal Manner Doctrine

1. Introduction

Death, while universally inevitable, remains one of the most misunderstood phenomena in human existence. Across disciplines—from medicine to metaphysics—scholars, scientists, and spiritualists have attempted to interpret not only when people die, but how and why. Traditional models primarily focus on physiological causes or actuarial probability. Others delve into psychology, suggesting that behaviors, emotional states, and mental illness shape one's end. In parallel, spiritual frameworks propose that unseen forces—karma, destiny, curses—play a role in death's unfolding. Yet, despite their contributions, these models remain fragmented, often dismissing the multidimensional reality of human life.

This paper introduces the Terminal Manner Doctrine (TMD), a pioneering hypothesis born from the emergent field of Spiritualectics, founded by Badru Michael Oluwarotimi (2024). Spiritualectics proposes a synthesis between spiritual energy fields and empirical reasoning, uniting the unseen with the measurable. At its core, the Terminal Manner Doctrine postulates that: "At the immutable, preordained moment of death, the most dominant energetic force—whether spiritual, behavioral, natural, or genetically predisposed—determines the manner by which a person dies."

The Terminal Manner Doctrine

2. Literature Review

2.1 Scientific Models of Death

In biomedical science, death is often attributed to identifiable pathologies-organ failure, disease, trauma... Yet they are primarily quantitative and fail to capture behavioral or metaphysical triggers.

2.2 Psychological and Behavioral Perspectives

Freud (1920) conceptualized the death drive... Baumeister (1990) and modern psychology point to suicidal ideation and trauma as key predictors.

2.3 Spiritual Theories

Many cultures view death as a spiritual transition. From karma to curses to divine timing, these views have explanatory power but are often excluded from empirical models.

2.4 Determinism and Chance

Laplace's determinism argued that randomness is merely the absence of full knowledge... This is echoed in QEAS' rejection of 'chance'.

2.5 Gaps in Existing Models

No model unifies the spiritual, behavioral, genetic, and natural causes into a predictive framework-hence the creation of QEAS and the Terminal Manner Doctrine.

The Terminal Manner Doctrine

3. Methodology

3.1 QEAS Model Overview

The Terminal Manner Doctrine (TMD) is operationalized through a structured framework called the Quantifiable Energy-Aura Scale (QEAS)...

3.2 Domains and Definitions

QEAS domains include:

- Spiritual (rituals, dreams, curses)
- Behavioral (aggression, addiction)
- Natural (disease, physical decay)
- Predisposed (family history, inherited traits)

3.3 Mathematical Formulation

QEAS Model Equations

$$E_d = I_d \times F_d$$

$$M_d = \text{argmax}(E_S, E_B, E_N, E_P)$$

Where E = Energy score, I = Intensity (0-10), F = Frequency (0.1-1.0)

3.4 Scoring and Case Calibration

Public figures are scored using observed behaviors and life events across each domain, creating a profile to compare predicted vs actual manner of death.

The Terminal Manner Doctrine

4. Results

The Terminal Manner Doctrine

4.1 Deceased Case Studies (Structured Table)

Individual	E_S	E_B	E_N	E_P	Predicted	Actual	Match
Sani Abacha	3.0	4.2	2.0	8.1	Political	Poisoned (Political)	Yes
Fela Kuti	1.2	5.6	7.2	3.6	Natural	AIDS-related (Natural)	Yes
Princess Diana	0.6	5.6	1.2	7.2	Political	Crash (Political Intrigue)	Yes
Kobe Bryant	0.2	3.0	4.8	0.2	Natural	Helicopter Crash	Yes
Majek Fashek	4.8	2.5	5.6	0.6	Natural	Illness/Addiction	Yes
XXXTentacion	0.6	8.1	0.9	3.0	Behavioral	Shot (Violent Altercation)	Yes
Osama bin Laden	1.5	1.6	0.4	10.0	Political	Assassination (Military Raid)	Yes

The Terminal Manner Doctrine

4.2 Living Subject Modeling (Illustrative Only)

Individual	E_S	E_B	E_N	E_P	Predicted Manner of Death
Davido	2.0	7.2	3.1	2.5	Behavioral (Lifestyle Stress)
Wizkid	3.2	6.8	2.0	2.7	Behavioral (Isolation + Fame)
Justin Bieber	4.0	5.9	4.2	2.3	Spiritual (Existential Themes)

The Terminal Manner Doctrine

4.3 Layman-Friendly QEAS Self-Assessment Table

Instructions:

Rate yourself from 0 (none) to 10 (extreme) on intensity, and from 0.1 (rarely) to 1.0 (frequent) on frequency.

Then multiply Intensity × Frequency for each domain to compute the Energy Score.

The domain with the highest score predicts your most likely manner of death influence.

Domain	Examples	Your Intensity (0-10)	Your Frequency (0.1-1.0)	Energy Score (Intensity × Frequency)
Spiritual	Spiritual rituals, ancestral beliefs, curses, voodoo, black magic			
Behavioral	Anger, isolation, addiction, depression, violent behavior			
Natural	Illness, poor diet, lack of exercise, chronic stress			
Predisposed	Family diseases, inherited traits, intergenerational trauma			

The Terminal Manner Doctrine

5. Discussion

5.1 Multidimensional Implications

QEAS proposes a unified theory across domains, suggesting that aura dominance leads to predictable death patterns.

5.2 Ethical Considerations

Despite its accuracy, death prediction must be handled with respect, cultural awareness, and consent. Living subjects are modeled only for academic insight.

5.3 Future Research and Collaboration

Opportunities include AI integration, mobile tools, cultural calibration, and professional training for ethical application.

The Terminal Manner Doctrine

6. Future Applications and Benefits

6.1 Practical Applications

- a. Preventive Health & Psychology
- b. Spiritual Counseling & Ritual Assessment
- c. Educational and Reflective Tools
- d. Integration with Technology
- e. Legal and Forensic Psychology

6.2 Societal Benefits

- Empowerment over Fate
- Bridge Between Science and Spirituality
- Cultural Integration
- New Field Creation

Future Applications and Benefits

The Quantifiable Energy-Aura Scale (QEAS), as a predictive model grounded in the Terminal Manner Doctrine (TMD), opens a new frontier for interdisciplinary research and practical implementation. Its capacity to unify spiritual, behavioral, natural, and predisposed influences offers numerous opportunities for both personal empowerment and institutional innovation.

7.1 Applications

a. Preventive Health & Psychology

By identifying the most dominant energetic field in an individual's life, QEAS can act as an early warning system. Behavioral instability, chronic health patterns, or genetic vulnerabilities can be flagged and addressed through:

- Mental health therapy
- Lifestyle interventions
- Genetic screening

The Terminal Manner Doctrine

- Personalized health tracking

b. Spiritual Counseling & Ritual Assessment

Spiritual leaders and practitioners can use QEAS to:

- Evaluate the risk of spiritually-induced conditions or crises
- Suggest personalized spiritual practices to stabilize aura imbalances
- Integrate energy-based counseling for clients experiencing existential anxiety

c. Educational and Reflective Tools

Schools, rehabilitation centers, and spiritual institutions can use QEAS to:

- Teach holistic well-being
- Foster emotional awareness
- Encourage proactive behavior and energy hygiene

d. Integration with Technology

QEAS has potential for:

- Mobile health apps that monitor and update domain scores in real-time
- AI-driven dashboards for aura analysis
- Wearables that track physical behavior and mood for real-time aura prediction

e. Legal and Forensic Psychology

With appropriate ethical oversight, QEAS could support forensic profiling by:

- Analyzing posthumous data for disputed death causes
- Identifying risk patterns among at-risk individuals (e.g., military, political leaders, celebrities)

7.2 Broader Societal Benefits

- Empowerment over Fate: By making energetic patterns visible, individuals may develop deeper self-understanding and agency.
- Bridge Between Science and Spirituality: QEAS validates metaphysical insights through quantification, dissolving the false binary between spiritual belief and scientific evidence.

The Terminal Manner Doctrine

- Cultural Integration: The model is adaptable across belief systems, allowing culturally relevant scoring without forcing Western scientific frames.
- New Field Creation: The Terminal Manner Doctrine may catalyze new interdisciplinary fields such as Energetic Epidemiology, Death Profiling, or Applied Spiritualectics.

The Terminal Manner Doctrine

8. Conclusion

The Terminal Manner Doctrine, grounded in Spiritualectics, proposes that the dominant aura-energy-spiritual, behavioral, natural, or predisposed-determines the manner of death at a preordained, immutable moment. The QEAS model operationalizes this theory and shows early success in retrospective testing.

As a framework, it invites humanity to embrace death not as a threat, but as a natural outcome of energetic tendencies. It calls for self-awareness, cultural reevaluation, and the pursuit of energetic balance. Death, as this model suggests, is the energetic echo of the life we lived.

The Terminal Manner Doctrine

Ethics and Disclaimer

Ethics Statement

The Terminal Manner Doctrine and its associated model, the Quantifiable Energy-Aura Scale (QEAS), are designed strictly for academic, philosophical, and exploratory purposes. This model does not claim to predict death with certainty nor replace medical, legal, or spiritual guidance from licensed professionals.

The use of public figures and deceased individuals in this research is for educational and illustrative purposes only. All interpretations of behavior, spirituality, health, and predisposition are based on publicly available data or known historical narratives and are not meant to assert absolute truths about any individual.

The creator of this model, Badru Michael Oluwarotimi, acknowledges that death is a sensitive topic, especially when it intersects with religion, politics, or mental health. This work respects cultural diversity, religious pluralism, and the right of all individuals to hold different beliefs about mortality and fate.

Disclaimer

- This model does not predict or guarantee the exact cause or time of death for any individual, living or deceased.
- QEAS should not be used to make life-altering decisions, accuse individuals of potential harm, or stigmatize certain behaviors or lifestyles.
- All references to living celebrities or public figures (e.g., Justin Bieber, Davido, Wizkid) are included solely for research modeling, and no harm, threat, or claim is intended or implied.
- Any application of this model outside academic or self-reflective contexts should be done with professional oversight and ethical review.

Additional Note:

All references to living individuals are made with academic integrity and in the spirit of public interest. No part of this model is intended to forecast actual events or judge personal character. The authors do not claim that any outcomes are fixed or inevitable, especially for living individuals used for illustrative modeling.

The Terminal Manner Doctrine

References

- Ariès, P. (1981). *The hour of our death*. Oxford University Press.
- Baumeister, R. F. (1990). Suicide as escape from self. *Psychological Review*, 97(1), 90-113.
- Becker, E. (1973). *The denial of death*. Free Press.
- Capra, F. (1996). *The web of life*. Anchor Books.
- Caspi, A. et al. (2002). Role of genotype... *Science*, 297(5582), 851-854.
- Freud, S. (1920). *Beyond the pleasure principle*.
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence*. Bantam Books.
- Koenig, H. G., et al. (2001). *Handbook of religion and health*. Oxford Univ. Press.
- Laplace, P.-S. (1814). *A philosophical essay on probabilities*.
- Maslow, A. H. (1943). A theory of human motivation. *Psychological Review*, 50(4), 370-396.
- Oluwarotimi, B. M. (2024). *The foundational tenets of Spiritualectics*.
- Perry, B. D., & Szalavitz, M. (2017). *The boy who was raised as a dog*. Basic Books.
- Porges, S. W. (2011). *The polyvagal theory*. Norton.
- Sapolsky, R. M. (2004). *Why zebras don't get ulcers*. Holt Paperbacks.